

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/600,140

06/20/2003

MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC

ONE MARITIME PLAZA-FOURTH FLOOR

Srinivas S. Pitla

V-203-0106 (1-16449)

6264

27378

7590

720 WATER STREET

TOLEDO, OH 43604

07/23/2004

EXAMINER

LOPEZ, FRANK D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3745

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	////
Office Action Summary		10/600,140	PITLA ET AL.	//////
		Examiner	Art Unit	100
		F. Daniel Lopez	3745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) 7 and 14 is/are objected to.			•
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	, ,		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National	Stage
* S	application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o		d	
O	oc the attached detailed Office action for a list of	in the certified copies not received	u.	
Attachment	(s)			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) ∐ Notice 3) ⊠ Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te atent Application (PTO	-152)
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/20/03</u> .	6) Other:	ipplication (i TO	102)

Application/Control Number: 10/600,140

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15 line 12-13 "a pair of shoes adapted to be seated in a pocket" is wrong, since the pair of shoes are in respective pockets.

Claims not specifically mentioned are indefinite, since they depend from one of the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, 15-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nakamura 6,158,968 (see below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 5, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by, or under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Nakamura (6,158,968). See, for example, fig 7, which shows a pair of shoes (118) having a flat surface (118b) slidingly engaging the arm (113a) of the swash plate (by rotating). Note that the surface of the shoe engaging the pocket (129, 130) of the arm (109a, 109b) of the rotor appears to be semi-spherical. If not, it would be obvious to make the surface semi-spherical, as taught by fig 9 (e.g. column 7 line 22-23), as an alternate shape.

Conclusion

Claims 7 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 308-0008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM -4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

F. Daniel Lopez

Page 3

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745

July 22, 2004